UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,283	06/10/2005	Paul R. Simons	GB02 0216 US	1867
	7590 04/15/2008 TRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ZEWARI, SAYED T	
370 W. TRIMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG SAN JOSE, CA 95131		i	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/538,283	SIMONS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SAYED T. ZEWARI	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Fe</u>	ebruary 2008				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	pante Quayie, 1000 0.2. 1.1, 10	3 3.3.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   A   Interview Summary (PTO-413)					

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

## Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 2/4/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In contrast, Radomsky describes a system that is directed to "allow for constant monitoring of a patient within a hospital in both confined and open spaces whilst, at the same time ...."

is not persuasive. Because the prior art teaches the same invention as the applicant. Applicant teaches a portable device that transmit data to fixed receivers. The prior art also teaches a portable device that transmit data to fixed receivers. Whether this transmission from portable device to a fixed station is constant or not is irrelevant. Further, applicant's objection relating to official notice is addressed below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

3. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Radomsky et al. (US 6,574,482) in view of Horwitz et al. (6,496,806) in further in view of Yacenda et al (US 5,515,426).

With respect to claim 1, Radomsky discloses a method for opportunistically tracking the location of a portable device (See Radomsky's abstract, see col.1 lines 24-67, col.11 lines 57-67) in a wireless infrastructure (See Radomsky's abstract, see figure 1, 5, col.5 lines 7-33 where RF and IR signals implies use of wireless infrastructure) comprising at least one fixed station operable to communicate wirelessly with said portable device (See Radomsky's abstract, see figure 1(14-16 IR receivers, and 17 RF receiver), 5, col.5 lines 7-33 where fixed stations communicate with portable devices), comprising: the portable device providing its unique device identifier to the station when within communication range of said station (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15, 22-24), generating association data comprising the unique identifier (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7), and uploading said associated data via a backchannel (See Radomsky's figure 1(26), col.3 lines 51-57) to a remote database wherein said data is stored (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19).

Radomsky discloses everything claimed as applied above to claim 1, except for explicitly reciting the use of time/date stamping incoming data from a device at the fixed

station before recording that data into a remote database. In analogous art, Horwitz discloses a communication system for tracking locations wherein incoming data is time/date stamped in order to provide a real time trail (See Horwitz' s col.10 lines 36-**40).** It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically time stamping incoming location data as taught by Horwitz for the purpose of enabling the system to track locations in real time (See Horwitz's col.4 lines 26-9, col.10 lines 36-39).

Page 4

Further the combination of Radomsky and Horwitz fail to explicitly recite that the incoming data received from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station. In analogous art, Yacenda discloses that the incoming data received from a device is time stamped at a fixed receiving station and then recorded in database. The incoming data received from device, is time stamped at a fixed station (figure 2(10)) before recording at remote database (figure 1(20)), for the purpose of enabling locating an individual in real time as taught Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky and Horwitz by specifically performing the time stamping at the fixed station as disclosed by Yacenda, thereby providing a communication system for tracking locations accurately wherein the incoming data from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station and then recorded in database, as disclosed by Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

With respect to claim 8, Radomsky discloses a system for opportunistically tracking the location of a portable device (See Radomsky's abstract, see col.1 lines 24-67, col.11 lines 57-67) having a unique identifier associated therewith (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15, 22-24), comprising a wireless infrastructure (See Radomsky's abstract, see figure 1, 5, col.5 lines 7-33 where RF and IR signals implies use of wireless infrastructure) having at least one fixed station (See Radomsky's abstract, see figure 1(14-16 IR receivers, and 17 RF receiver), 5, col.5 lines 7-33 where fixed stations communicate with portable devices), station receiving means (See Radomsky's figure 1(14-16 & 17), col.3 lines 51-57) for receiving the unique identifier transmitted by said portable device when within communication range (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15, 22-24), generation means for generating association data comprising the unique identifier with the location of said station (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7), and uploading means (See Radomsky's figure 5, col.5 lines 7-33) for uploading said generated associated data via a backchannel (See Radomsky's figure 1(26), col.3 lines 51-57) to a remote database (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19) wherein said data is stored. Radomsky discloses everything claimed as applied above to claim 8, except for explicitly reciting the use of time/date stamping incoming data from a device at the fixed station before recording that data into a remote database. In analogous art, Horwitz discloses a communication system for tracking locations wherein incoming data is time/date stamped in order to provide a real time trail (See Horwitz's

col.10 lines 36-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically time stamping incoming location data as taught by Horwitz for the purpose of enabling the system to track locations in real time (See Horwitz's col.4 lines 26-9, col.10 lines 36-39). Further the combination of Radomsky and Horwitz fail to explicitly recite that the incoming data received from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station. In analogous art, Yacenda discloses that the incoming data received from a device is time stamped at a fixed receiving station and then recorded in database. The incoming data received from device, is time stamped at a fixed station (figure 2(10)) before recording at remote database (figure 1(20)), for the purpose of enabling locating an individual in real time as taught Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky and Horwitz by specifically performing the time stamping at the fixed station as disclosed by Yacenda, thereby providing a communication system for tracking locations accurately wherein the incoming data from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station and then recorded in database, as disclosed by Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45).

With respect to claim 13, Radomsky discloses a system further comprising a remote client terminal (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19, see additional information: col.3 lines 35-67) operable to establish a connection with the database

(See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19 where the database is the hard drive of the computer), and wherein said database is operable to supply associated data to said client terminal in dependence on the client terminal supplying a unique device identifier (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19).

Page 7

With respect to claim 2, Radomsky discloses a method wherein upon receipt of a unique identifier (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15) the station transmits said identifier and its station identifier to an infrastructure computer (See Radomsky's figure 1(14-16 & 17), col.3 lines 51-57).

With respect to claim 3, Radomsky discloses a method wherein the infrastructure computer receives said station identifier and unique device identifier (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15), and generates, association data together with the device identifier and the location of the station (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7). Radomsky discloses everything claimed as applied above to claim 3, except for explicitly reciting the use of time/date stamping incoming data from a device at the fixed station before recording that data into a remote database. In analogous art, Horwitz discloses a communication system for tracking locations wherein incoming data is time/date stamped in order to provide a real time trail (See Horwitz's col.10 lines 36-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically time stamping incoming location data as taught by Horwitz for the purpose of enabling the system to track locations in real time (See Horwitz's col.4 lines 26-9, col.10 lines 36-39).

45).

Further the combination of Radomsky and Horwitz fail to explicitly recite that the incoming data received from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station. In analogous art, Yacenda discloses that the incoming data received from a device, is time stamped at a fixed station (figure 2(10)) before recording at remote database (figure 1(20)). The incoming data received from device is time stamped at a fixed station for the purpose of enabling locating an individual in real time as taught Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky and Horwitz by specifically performing the time stamping at the fixed station as disclosed by Yacenda, thereby providing a communication system for tracking locations accurately wherein the incoming data from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station and then recorded in database, as disclosed by Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-

Page 8

With respect to claim 4, Radomsky discloses a method wherein the infrastructure computer inherently uploads said associated data to the remote database (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19 where the database is the hard drive of the computer).

With respect to claim 6, Radomsky discloses a method wherein a client terminal (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19, see additional information: col.3 lines 35-67) connects with the database (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-

Art Unit: 2617

19 where the database is the hard drive of the computer), and wherein said database is operable to supply associated data to said terminal in dependence on the client supplying a unique identifier (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19).

Page 9

With respect to claim 9, Radomsky discloses a system further comprising an infrastructure computer (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19) in communication with the at least one station of said infrastructure (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19) and the database (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19 where the database is the hard drive of the computer), said computer having stored information relating to the location of the at least one station (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7), and wherein said at least one station is configured to communicate the received unique identifier to the computer (See Radomsky's figure 1(14-16 & 17), col.3 lines 51-57), and wherein said computer generates and uploads said associated data to the remote database (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19) via the backchannel (See Radomsky's figure 1(26), col.3 lines 51-57)

With respect to claim 10, Radomsky discloses a system wherein communication between the at least one station and the portable device is performed via a wireless protocol in which devices are assigned unique identifiers (See Radomsky's figure 4, col.4 lines 52-56, col.5 lines 12-24, 30-39).

With respect to claim 16, Radomsky discloses the fixed station of claim 8, comprising means (See Radomsky's figure 1(14-16 & 17), col.3 lines 51-57) for

Art Unit: 2617

receiving a unique identifier (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15, 22-24), means for generating association data and means for uploading said data to a connected computer (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7).

With respect to claim 15, Radomsky discloses the database of claim 8, storing location tracking information (See Radomsky's col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7), the information comprising location data associated with a unique wireless device identifier (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7), and wherein the database is operable to supply said information in response to a request comprising a unique device identifier (See Radomsky's figure 1(25), col.4 lines 1-19). Radomsky discloses everything claimed as applied above to claim 15, except for explicitly reciting the use of time/date stamping incoming data from a device at the fixed station before recording that data into a remote database. In analogous art, Horwitz discloses a communication system for tracking locations wherein incoming data is time/date stamped in order to provide a real time trail (See Horwitz's col.10 lines 36-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically time stamping incoming location data as taught by Horwitz for the purpose of enabling the system to track locations in real time (See Horwitz's col.4 lines 26-9, col.10 lines 36-39). Further the combination of Radomsky and Horwitz fail to explicitly recite that the incoming data received from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station. In analogous art, Yacenda

Art Unit: 2617

discloses that the incoming data received from a device, is time stamped at a fixed station (figure 2(10)) and then recorded in database (figure 1(20)). The incoming data received from device is time stamped at a fixed station for the purpose of enabling locating an individual in real time as taught Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky and Horwitz by specifically performing the time stamping at the fixed station as disclosed by Yacenda, thereby providing a communication system for tracking locations accurately wherein the incoming data from a device is time/date stamped at a fixed receiving station and then recorded in database, as disclosed by Yacenda (See Yacenda's figure 19 col.12 lines 58-62 col.13 lines 1-6, figure 21 col. 13 lines 29-33, lines 34-45).

4. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Radomsky et al. (US 6,574,482) in view of Hurst et al. (US 2004/0198308).

With respect to claim 7, Radomsky discloses a method wherein the supply of associated data is generated (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7).

Radomsky does not specifically disclose that these associated data are supplied in exchange for a fee. In analogous art, Hurst discloses a system where data are exchanged for a fee for the purpose of distributing digital assets (see Hurst's abstract,

Art Unit: 2617

section [0002]-[0004], [0006]-[0008] particularly section [0008]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically combining its transmission of data from portable device with the distribution of data for a fee, as taught by Hurst, thereby providing a communication system wherein data are exchanged for a fee, as disclosed by Hurst (see Hurst's abstract, section [0002]-[0004], [0006]-[0008] particularly section [0008]).

With respect to claim 14, Radomsky discloses a system wherein the supply of associated data is generated (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-22, 22-24, see additional information: col.2 lines 1-31, figure 2, col.4 lines 1-7). Radomsky does not specifically disclose that these associated data are supplied in exchange for a fee. In analogous art, Hurst discloses a system where data are exchanged for a fee for the purpose of distributing digital assets (see Hurst's abstract, section [0002]-[0004], [0006]-[0008] particularly section [0008]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically combining its transmission of data from portable device with the distribution of data for a fee, as taught by Hurst, thereby providing a communication system wherein data are exchanged for a fee, as disclosed by Hurst (see Hurst's abstract, section [0002]-[0004], [0006]-[0008] particularly section [0008]).

Art Unit: 2617

5. Claims 11, 12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Radomsky et al. (US 6,574,482) in view of Brass et al. (2004/0077309).

With respect to claim 11, Radomsky discloses a system wherein a communication protocol is used (See Radomsky's figure 4, col.4 lines 52-56, col.5 lines 12-24, 30-39). Radomsky does not disclose the protocol to be ZigBee protocol. In analogous art, But Brass et al. discloses a use of a ZigBee protocol. ZigBee is the name of a specification for a suite of high level communication protocols using small, low-power digital radios based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard (see Brass's abstract, section [0064], claim 5 and 11 on page 6, claim 17, 23, and 29 on page 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically combining its method of transmission of data with the ZigBee Protocol, as taught by Brass, thereby providing a communication system wherein ZigBee protocol is used in order to enable low power communication (see Brass's abstract, section [0064], claim 5 and 11 on page 6, claim 17, 23, and 29 on page 7).

With respect to claim 17, Radomsky discloses a portable device having a unique identifier (See Radomsky's col.4 lines 59-61, col.5 lines 12-15, 22-24), for use with the system of claim 8 in the form of a tag (See Radomsky's figure 1(20-23), col.3 lines 35-67, figure 15, col.9 lines 25-53 where use of radio is disclosed). Radomsky does not disclose the radio module to be ZigBee radio module. In analogous art, But Brass et al. discloses a use of a ZigBee protocol. ZigBee is the name of a specification

Art Unit: 2617

for a suite of high level communication protocols using small, low-power digital radios based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard (see Brass's abstract, section [0064], claim 5 and 11 on page 6, claim 17, 23, and 29 on page 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically combining its method of transmission of data with the ZigBee Protocol, as taught by Brass, thereby providing a communication system wherein ZigBee protocol is used in order to enable low power communication (see Brass's abstract, section [0064], claim 5 and 11 on page 6, claim 17, 23, and 29 on page 7).

With respect to claim 12, Radomsky discloses a system wherein a communication protocol is used (See Radomsky's figure 4, col.4 lines 52-56, col.5 lines 12-24, 30-39). Radomsky does not disclose the protocol to be Bluetooth protocol. In analogous art, But Brass et al. discloses a use of a ZigBee protocol. ZigBee is the name of a specification for a suite of high level communication protocols using small, low-power digital radios based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard (see Brass's abstract, section [0064], claim 5 and 11 on page 6, claim 17, 23, and 29 on page 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Radomsky by specifically combining its method of transmission of data with the ZigBee Protocol, as taught by Brass, thereby providing a communication system wherein ZigBee protocol is used in order to enable low power communication (see Brass's abstract, section [0064], claim 5 and 11 on page 6, claim 17, 23, and 29 on page 7).

Art Unit: 2617

## Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 7. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAYED T. ZEWARI whose telephone number is (571)272-6851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sayed T Zewari/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

April 7, 2008

/Lester Kincaid/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617